

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	/	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/552,987 11/03/95	KOHRT	A 0270-1829	
		EXAMINER	
CHARLES M LEEDOM JR SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM 8 2010 CORPORATE RIDGE SUITE 600 MCLEAN VA 22102	34M1/1115 & FERGUSON	VO. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3402	5
		DATE MAILED: 1 1	DATE MAILED:11/15/96
This is a communication from the examine COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TR	or in charge of your application. ADEMARKS		
This application has been examined	Responsive to communication filed on	[	This action is made final
A shortened statutory period for response Fallure to respond within the period for res	to this action is set to expire THREE(3) nonth(s), ponse will cause the application to become abando	days from	the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMEN	T(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
Notice of References Cited by I     Notice of Art Cited by Applicant     Information on How to Effect Di	t, PTO-1449. 4. Not	ilce of Draftsman's Pater ice of Informal Patent Ap	nt Drawing Review, PTO-948.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION			
1. Claims 1 - 20		а	re pending in the application
, ,			
_			
	a		
7. This application has been filed with	h informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for examina	tion purposes.
8. Formal drawings are required in re		•	
The corrected or substitute drawing are acceptable; and accepta	gs have been received on ble (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Pater	. Under 37 C.F. nt Drawing Review, PTO	R. 1.84 these drawings 948).
10. The proposed additional or substite examiner; disapproved by the	ute sheet(s) of drawings, filed onexaminer (see explanation).	has (have) been   🗅	approved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, f	filed, has been appro-	ved; disapproved (se	e explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the c	claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified serial no	convinas Dibeen rece	
13. Since this application apppears to t	be in condition for allowance except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		merits is closed in
14. Other			

Art Unit: 3402

. 1 .

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because:

lines are not uniformly thick and well defined.

Correction is required.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:

reference number 82, 84, 86, 88, 90, and 92 of figure 2;

reference number 64, 68, 74, and 78 of figure 3.

Correction is required.

- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "an elongated strip of electrical resistance" (page 8 line 21), "a set of washers" (page 9 line 13-14), "air filter" (page 11 line 5) as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing.

  MPEP § 608.02(d). Correction is required.
- 4. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.
- 5. The drawings submitted with this application were declared informal by the applicant.

  Accordingly they have not been reviewed by a draftsperson at this time. When formal drawings

Art Unit: 3402

are submitted, the draftsperson will perform a review. Direct any inquires concerning drawing review to the Drawing Review Branch (703) 305-8404.

## Claim Objections

6. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities:

the terms "first surface" (page 16 line 14, 15), and "second surface" (page 16 line 15) should be change to "bottom surface" and "top surface" respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 6-7, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For example, the terms "said integral intake manifold" (page 14 line 11-12, page 16 line 2), "said top surface" (page 14 line 15), "second surface" (page 17 line 17) lack antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3402

8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al. of U.S. Pat. 4,685,437.

Tanaka et al. show every element of the instant claimed subject matters, including:

- a heater frame (col. 5 lines 10-30);
- a heater element (col. 6 lines 19-23);
- a flange portion around the frame member (fig. 1,2,5,6, etc.)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. of U.S. Pat. 4,685,437 in view of Berg of U.S. Pat. 4,465,053.

Tanaka et al. teach an air intake heater 1 which has heater elements12,13 mount on a frame member 2,3, an electric connector to connect to electrical source. Berg, however, shows an intake air heater 10 with electrical resistance heater elements, an electric connector 44 to connect to electrical source. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3402

art at the time the invention was made to provide the air intake heater of Tanaka et al. with the electrical resistance heater of Berg for easy warm up the engine during cold start.

10. Claims 6-8,10-12, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cailey of U.S. Pat 4,926,812 in view of Tanaka et al. of U.S. Pat. 4,685,437

Regarding claims 6-8, Cailey teaches a cylinder head 12 with top and bottom surface, an intake manifold 18 (fig. 2). However, Tanaka et al. shows an intake manifold 50 with an intake air heater 1 (fig. 4). Therefore, to provide the intake manifold of Cailey with the intake air heater of Tanaka et al. to reduce the size of the engine.

With regard to claims 10-12, Tanaka et al. disclose an intake air heater with heater elements which are supported by frame member, flange portion around the heater frame, an electrical connector.

With regard to claims 14 and 19, Cailey teaches an air delivery conduit (fig. 2) which is abutted against cylinder head through the flange portion (element 44), bolt holes (element 42).

Claims 9, 13, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cailey of U.S. Pat. 4,926,812 in view of Tanaka et al. of U. S. Pat. 4,685,437 as applied to claim 6-7 above, and further in view of Berg of U.S. Pat. 4, 465,053.

Berg teaches an intake air heater with electrical resistance heater element, an electrical connector to connect electrical resistance heater element to electrical source. Therefore, it would have been to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the air

Art Unit: 3402

intake heater of Tanaka et al. with the electrical resistance heater of Berg for easy warm up the

engine during cold start.

12. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cailey of

U.S. Pat. 4,962,812 in view of Tanaka et al. U.S. Pat. 4,685,437.

Cailey teaches a cylinder head with top and bottom surface, an intake manifold, an air

delivery conduit (fig. 2). However, Tanaka et al. shows an intake manifold with an intake air

heater which has heater elements are supported by heater frame (fig. 4). Therefore, to provide

the intake manifold of Cailey with the intake manifold of Tanaka et al. to reduce the size of the

engine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Hieu T. Vo whose telephone number is (703) 305-6800. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

HENRY YUEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 3400

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3402

HTV

November 5, 1996